

BIOSORPTION ACTIVATED MEDIA (BAM) TO REDUCE NUTRIENTS IN STORMWATER

By Marty Wanielista





PROBLEM and Question

- Elevated NO₃⁻ concentrations are common in Florida groundwater, especially in coastal and karst areas.
- Elevated OP concentrations in inland waters.
- NO₃⁻ and OP concentrations have increased in many Florida locations since the 1950s.
- Stormwater runoff is one source of TN and TP.

Can a "media" be used to remove nutrients in stormwater?

OVERVIEW

- ~ 15 years of lab and field data collection to validate removal and perfect designs and operations
- Biogeochemical assessment of pre/post data at areas using Biosorption Activated Media (BAM)
- Design and construction using BAM
- Quantitative analysis of N budget and flux beneath stormwater basins that use BAM
- Input Output data on BMPs

PARTNERS in this work

- U.S. EPA, Edison NJ Stormwater Program
- Florida Department of Environmental Protection
- Marion County, Florida
- Florida Department of Transportation
- St. Johns River Water Management District
- Southwest Florida WMD
- Universities: UCF, USF, FSU and UF
- U.S. Geological Survey, Water Science Center
- Plastic Tubing Industries and
- Suntree Technologies

Special Thanks to many students and co-PIs on BAM, namely Drs. Ni-Bin Chang, UCF; & Andy O'Reilly, U of Mississippi In addition to the speakers in this workshop.

BAM Media SELECTION

Ones for which we have effectiveness lab experiments

- Expanded Clay
- Peat
- Natural Sandy/Loamy/ Clayey soils
- Sawdust (untreated)
- Paper/Newspaper
- Palm Tree Frauds
- Zeolite

- Tire Crumb
- Tire Chips
- Activated Carbon
- Limestone
- Crushed Shells
- Wood Fiber/Chips/
- Compost
- Coconut coir







LABORATORY SOIL COLUMNS

- Test selected media mixtures to quantify their nutrient attenuation capabilities (removal)
- Life Expectancy




Trying to estimate

- 1. mg P/g media
- 2. Residence time
- 3. Environment, DO conditions





surface area of BAM identify using **Scanning Electron Microscope**



SEM of (a) concrete sand1,000 x, (b) expanded clay 2,200 x, and (c) tire crumb 1,200 x magnification showing the surface structure and characteristics after residing in 24 days of column testing.

Roof and Lawn Gardens

vegetated areas with special media for water treatment and other benefits such as

- First used as a light weight media to "hold" N&P for plants
- Improving the "looks" of the area or property
- Reducing water pollution in runoff waters
- Replacing potable water used for irrigation
- Removing air pollutants and adding Oxygen
- Helping reduce heat island effects
- Reducing energy use within a building with greenroofs
- Providing for plant and animal diversity





Florida Greenroofs

- Scientific and engineering support introduced in Florida in 2003:
 - UCF Student Union, physical science and Stormwater Lab (3)
 - FSGE (Environome) (5) in Indialantic (2009 green roofs for healthy cities)
 - Bonita Bay (first one and has been modified for irrigation and media)
 - New American Home in Orlando
 - Charlotte County Stadium
 - UF Perry Construction Yard Building
 - Tecta-America Building in Sanford (tray vs. continuous)
 - Honda Headquarters in Clermont (greenroofs.com roof of the week)
 - Escambia County One Stop Permit Building (largest ~ 33,000 SF)
 - Residence on Casey Key
 - Orlando Fire Station #1
 - Environmental Center, Key West
 - Kimley-Horn Building in Vero Beach
 - First Green Bank with Tecta-America Southeast in Mount Dora
 - City of Sarasota Bay Front Park
 - Gulf Coast College, Panama City
 - Brickell City Center, Miami
 - WAWA gas station, Altamonte Springs
 - Starbucks, Walt Disney World

ESCAMBIA COUNTY CENTRAL OFFICE COMPLEX LARGEST GREEN ROOF IN FLORIDA ~ 33,000 SF





Thanks to: County, Bay Design Arch, AENew Jr., FDEP, and IFAS


Depression or Lawn Garden Areas

Existing for 36 years, particulate fraction removal plus











Nitrogen and Phosphorus removal depends on media, (typical is > 70%)


Example Capture and Effectiveness with Retention BMPs



Pipe filter near an Estuary Area can not infiltrate, thus filter



Bold & Gold Filter in a pipe



85% reduction of Nitrate, 76% reduction of TP Credit Watermark Engineering

Science validated with field data

HYPOTHESIS #1


Soil texture controls surface/subsurface oxygen exchange, thereby controlling biogeochemical processes and N and C cycling.

Field SITE COMPARISONS

Sandy Soil SW Basin	Parameter	BAM type Soil SW Basin
Deeper	Water Table	Shallower
Less	Silty/Clayey Soils	More
Lower	Cation Exchange Capacity	Higher
Higher	Infiltration Rate	Lower
Higher	Dissolved Oxygen	Lower
Lower	Alkalinity	Higher
Lower	Organic Carbon	Higher
Higher (median=2.2 mg/L)	Groundwater Nitrate	Lower (median=0.03 mg/L)
No	Nitrate Decline with Time	Yes

SOIL CHARACTERISTICS

 Textural differences contributed to large differences in the soil moisture retention curves.



HYPOTHESIS #2

➤ Nutrient input into groundwater from stormwater can be reduced by retrofitting an area where infiltration occurs using BAM that replicates natural biogeochemical processes.

TREATMENT with BAM

- A retrofit to an existing area was completed based on the natural biogeochemical processes identified at an existing stormwater basins using naturally occurring BAM:
- 1. Excavation of native soil in the bottom of a portion of an area;
- 2. Emplacement of a 0.3 m thick amended soil layer ("Biosorption Activated Media" mix): 1.0:1.9:4.1 mixture (by volume) of tire crumb (to increase sorption capacity), silt+clay (to increase soil moisture retention), and sand (to promote sufficient infiltration); and
- 3. Construction of a berm forming separate nutrient reduction and flood control basins.

Marion County Basin— Uses BAM

62.102

€1.607


51.982

61.132

61.18<u>6</u>61.173

60.388an 572

- Reproduce soil conditions that exist at the SO basin by using an amended soil layer:
 - Increase soil moisture






Basin with BAM - NITRATE


- ~ 60% reductions in nitrate from pre-construction (2007–2009) to post-construction (2009–2010) median concentrations with one foot deep BAM media.
- 73% reduction for two feet deep B&G layer.

Reference: Williams, Shane, Improving Nitrogen Removal Effectiveness, Annual FSA Meeting June 2015.




Basin with BAM - PHOSPHORUS


> 80% reductions in total dissolved phosphorus (TDP) from pre-construction (2007–2009) to post-construction (2009–2010) median concentrations in soil water



Before and After BAM Residual Soil Moisture at a Regional Infiltration Basin



Field measurements were obtained by continuous monitoring using time domain reflectometry and tensiometers.




Laboratory derived soil moisture retention curves were measured for the main drying curve on undisturbed soil cores using the pressure cell method.

Note: 1 meter head = 9.8 kPaField Capacity of soil = $\sim 3.3 \text{ meters}$


MODELING: SURFACE NITROGEN LOADING

 Use water-volume and N-mass balances to compute N concentration in ponded water (C_p) and N mass loading in surface infiltration (W_{out})




SUBSURFACE FLUXES

 Compute subsurface fluxes using 1-D continuity equation and field-measured volumetric water contents




SYSTEM DYNAMICS MODEL

- 1-D vertical, 4 layers
- Only water phase (gas and solid phases not modeled)
- Model layers approximate field conditions, e.g. BAM layer and locations of instrumentation




CONCEPTUAL MODEL


 Simulate advective inflow/outflow, fixation, ammonification, nitrification, denitrification, and plant uptake



CALIBRATION & VALIDATION

- Calibrate model for period 1–15 December 2009
- Validate model for period 2 March 7 April 2010





BMP Nutrient Model BMPTRAINS

Stormwater BMP Treatment Trains [BMPTRAINS©]

CLICK HERE TO START





INTRODUCTION PAGE

This program is compiled from stormwater management publications and deliberations during a two year review of the stormwater rule in the State of Florida.

Input from the members of the
Florida Department of
Environmental Protection Stormwater Review
Technical Advisory Committee
and the staff and consultants from the
State Water Management Districts
is appreciated.


The State Department of Transportation provided guidance and resources to compile this program. The Stormwater Management Academy is responsible for the content of this program.



Stormwater BMP Options BAM can be used with many BMPs


RETENTION BASIN	WET DETENTION	EXFILTRATION TRENCH	RAIN (BIO) GARDEN	SWALE	USER DEFINED BMP
PERVIOUS PAVEMENT	STORMWATER HARVESTING	FILTRATION including BIOFILTRATION	LINED REUSE POND & UNDERDRAIN INPUT	being analyzed in conju	rstem must be sized prior to nction with other systems. tions in the MULTIPLE
GREENROOF	RAINWATER HARVESTING	FLOATING ISLANDS WITH WET DETENTION		WATERSHEDS AND TREATMENT SYSTEMS ANALYSIS tab for more information.	
VEGETATED NATURAL BUFFER	VEGETATED FILTER STRIP	VEGETATED AREA Example tree well	CATCHMENT AND TREATMENT SUMN RESULTS		ENT SUMMARY

In-Line or Off-Line Up-flow Filters



Underground locations, thus do not take land

Underground Systems in high water table areas Up-Flow Filter at the Discharge







Sampling Results (estimated 70% of flow through filter)

BAM (B&G) Filter





Parameter	Total Nitrogen	Total Phosphorus	Total Suspended Solids
Average Influent Concentration (mg/L)	1.87	0.281	105
Average Filter Removal (%)	45	58	40
Average System Removal (%) no by-pass of the up-flow filter	67	79	81
Average Annual Removal (%)	54	67	70

Water Quality Lab data by ERD, Orlando Florida

There was ~30% overflow (by-pass) of the up-flow filter during high flow per year

Improved Treatment Using Up-flow Filters with Wet Pond

Filters Work to remove more

- Filters can be designed to remove nitrogen without media replacement
- For phosphorus, media replacement time is specified
- Can be used in BMP & LID
 Treatment Train Applications
 with other treatment








Up-Flow Input from Wet Detention to Filter

Performance

- Concentration
- Averages based on field data
- Average yearly based on
- 1.0 inch design for filter





Parameter	TN	TP	TSS
Average Influent Concentration (mg/L)	1.83	0.73	42.7
Average Filter Removal (%)	22	25	60
Average Pond Removal (%)	62	63	79
Average Pond + Filter Removal (%)	70	72	91
Average Annual System Performance	67	70	89





Removal and mixes

Filtration Media

DESCRIPTION OF MEDIA		PROJECTED TREATMENT PERFORMANCE *			TYPICAL
Media and Typical Location in BMP Treatment Train	MATERIAL	TSS REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	TN REMOVAL EFFICIENCY	TP REMOVAL** EFFICIENCY	LIMITING FILTRATION RATE (in/hr)
B&G ECT (ref A)	Expanded Clay ²				
A first BMP, ex. Up-Flow Filter in Baffle box and	Tire Chips ¹				
a constructed w etland [#] (USER DEFINED BMP)		70%	55%	65%	96 in/hr
B&G OTE (ref A,B)	Organics ⁸				
Up-flow Filter at Wet Pond & Dry Basin Outflow	Tire Chips ¹				
(FILTRATION)	Expanded Clay ⁴	60%	45%	45%	96 in/hr
B&G ECT3 ^(ref C)	Expanded Clay ⁴				
Inter-event flow using Up-flow Filter at wet pond	Tire Chip ¹				
and Down-Flow Filter at Dry Basin (FILTRATION)		60%	25%	25%	96 in/hr
SAT (ref D)	Sand ³				
A first BMP, as a Down-flow Filter (FILTRATION)		85%	30%	60%	1.75 in/hr
B&G CTS (ref E,F)	Clay ⁶				
Dow n-Flow Filters 12" depth*** at w et pond or dry basin	Tire Crumb ⁵				
pervious pave, tree well, rain garden, swale, and strips	Sand ⁷ & Topsoil ⁹	90%	60%	90%	0.25 in/hr

Note: Other filter media being tested

Notes and References

- ¹ Tire Chip 3/8" and no measurable metal content (approximate dry density = 730 lbs/CY)
- 2 Expanded Clay 5/8 and 3/8 blend (approximate dry density = 950 lbs/CY)
- ³ Sand ASTM C-33 with no more than 3% passing # 200 sieve (approximate dry density = 2200 lbs/CY)
- ⁴ Expanded Clay 3/8 in blend (approximate density = 950 lbs/CY)
- ⁵ Tire Crumb 1-5 mm and no measurable metal content (approximate density = 730 lbs/CY)
- ⁶ Medium Plasticity typically light colored Clay (approximate density = 2500 lbs/CY)
- 7 Sand with less than 5% passing #200 sieve (approximate density = 2200 lbs/CY)
- ⁸Organic Compost (approximate density of 700 lbs/CY) Class 1A Compost or Mix of yard waste
- 9Local top soil is used over CTS media in dry basins, gardens, swales and strips, is free of roots & debris but is not used in other BMPs.
- A Demonstration Bio Media for Ultra-urban Stormwater Treatment, Wanielista, et.al. FDOT Project BDK78 977-19, 2014
- B Nutrient Reduction in a Stormwater Pond Discharge in Florida, Ryan, et al, Water Air Soil Pollution, 2010
- C Up-Flow Filtration for Wet Detention Ponds, Wanielista and Flint, Florida Stormwater Association, June 12, 2014.
- D City of Austin Environmental Criteria Manual, Section 1.6.5, Texas, 2012
- E Nitrogen Transport and Transformation in Retention Basins, Marion Co, Fl, Wanielista, et al, State DEP, 2011
- F Improving Nitrogen Efficiencies in Dry Ponds, Williams and Wanielista, Florida Stormwater Association, June 18 2015

Additional Support References

- Alternative Stormwater Sorption Media for the Control of Nutrients,
 - By Wanielista, Marty and Ni-Bin Chang, Southwest Florida Water Management District, Final Report, Project B236, 2008.
- Improving Nitrogen Treatment Efficiency in Dry Retention Ponds
 - By Shan Williams and Marty Wanielista, Florida Stormwater Association Annual Meeting, Ft Meyers, Fl, June 2015.
- Soil Property Control of Biogeochemical Processes beneath Two Subtropical Stormwater Infiltration Basins
 - Andrew M. O'Reilly, Martin P. Wanielista, Ni-Bin Chang, Willie G. Harris, and Zhemin Xuan: J Envir Quality, vol. 41, March 2012.
- Nutrient removal using biosorption activated media: Preliminary biogeochemical assessment of an innovative stormwater infiltration basin
 - Andrew M. O'Reilly, Martin P. Wanielista, Ni-Bin Chang, Zhemin Xuan, Willie G. Harris: Science of the Total Environment 432, 2012: 227–242

PUBLICATIONS continued

- 1. O'Reilly, et.al. 2011. "Soil Property Control of Biogeochemical Processes beneath Two Subtropical Stormwater Infiltration Basins, 2012." *Journal of Environmental Quality 41(2), 564–581*—
- 2. O'Reilly, et. al. 2011. "Cyclic Biogeochemical Processes and Nitrogen Fate beneath a Subtropical Stormwater Infiltration Basin," *Journal of Contaminant Hydrology* —
- 3. O'Reilly, et. al. 2012. "Nutrient Removal Using Biosorption Activated Media: Preliminary Biogeochemical Assessment of an Innovative Stormwater Infiltration Basin," *Science of the Total Environment* —
- 4. O'Reilly, et.al. 2012. "System Dynamics Modeling for Nitrogen Removal through Biosorption Activated Media in a Stormwater Infiltration Basin," Science of the Total Environment —
- 5. Wanielista, et.al. 2011. Nitrogen Transformation beneath Stormwater Retention Basins in Karst Areas. FDEP S0316, Tallahassee.
- 6. Wanielista, et.al. 2013. Stormwater Harvesting Using Retention and In-Line Pipes for Treatment Consistent with the new Statewide Stormwater Rule. FDOT BDK78 977-02, Tallahassee.

CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Over 15 years of experience measuring BAM (Bold & Gold) to remove nutrients from stormwater.
- Sorption media controls surface/subsurface oxygen exchange by maintaining elevated moisture content, thereby controlling biogeochemical processes and N and C cycling.
- 3. Retrofitting infiltration areas using BAM resulted in decreased nitrate concentrations, which is partly due to intermittent denitrification, and decreased phosphorus, which is likely due to sorption.
- 4. About 60-90% reduction in nitrate, and about 80-90% reduction in phosphorus are achievable and typical removal ranges.
- System dynamics modeling can provide quantitative estimates of N budget and fluxes, which indicated that in stormwater BMPs with BAM, there is nitrogen removal and it was occurring predominantly in the BAM layer.
- 6. Most WMDs giving credit.



COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION (BAM) TO REDUCE NUTRIENTS IN STORMWATER

By Marty Wanielista



